EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - HC2 21/22

I Title of decision: Afghan Locally Employed Staff (LES) Relocation Schemes July 2021 Decision maker (Cabinet Member): Councillor Mrs Vivien Pengelly (Cabinet Member for Homes and Communities) Report author and contact details: Jessica Dann (Community Connections technical Lead – Refugees and Asylum Seekers) iessica.dann@plymouth.gov.uk Tel (01752) 306848

4 Decision to be taken:

Agree in principle that Plymouth City Council will support the Afghan Relocation and Assistance Policy (ARAP) and the Afghan Citizens Resettlement Scheme (ACRS) and commit to resettling up to 60 people in the first year of the programme (September 2021 –September 2022).

Agree in principle that Plymouth City Council will resettle up to 50 people per year for the duration of the Schemes to support the Home Office to meet its target of 20,000 Afghans across a 5 year period. This will be reviewed annually to ensure that we are not placing too greater pressure on the local housing market.

5 Reasons for decision:

ARAP and ACRS are Home Office managed migration schemes to relocate both Afghan Civilian Personnel who have been employed by the Ministry of Defence in recognition of their commitment and bravery shown supporting UK forces since 2013 and Afghan Citizens who may be at particular risk because they have assisted the UK efforts in Afghanistan vulnerable people, including women and girls at risk, and members of minority groups at risk.

Plymouth City Council has resettled 215 refugees through similar Refugee Resettlement Schemes since 2015. Plymouth City Council recognise that: this is a high priority for the Home Office; the Council has previously participated in similar schemes; Plymouth has strong connections with the Armed Forces; and is consistent with the commitment to promote Plymouth as a Welcoming City.

Benefits to this approach will balance local priorities such as access to affordable private rented sector accommodation alongside support the Home Office's objectives of ensuring a safe route of passage for the most vulnerable migrants and retains the corporate values as a collaborative Council who acts fairly and responsibly.

The Home Office has proposed a fully funded package for resettlement to support families in their initial three years post arrival to the UK. This is a significant increase in the original funding that was proposed earlier in the year and therefore allows the Council to commit to resettling a greater number of people. Ongoing involvement in these schemes will support the future commissioning of the Refugee Integration Service contract, a service that is essential in maintaining the levels of support for all refugees coming

into the city. This service will further be able to provide the ongoing support to ARAP and ACRS families beyond the 3-year period funded by the HO.

6 Alternative options considered and rejected:

We could refuse the Home Office's request. However, the scheme, which is now fully funded, has been successful to date and not accepting any further would be contrary to our local values and would not support the national commitment to resettle Afghan Locally Employed Staff and persons at risk many of whom have supported the UK armed forces, often in dangerous and challenging situation. Further, it is not clear whether refusal to co-operate with this scheme may result in the Home Office operating the relocation of those individuals brought to the UK on a no-choice basis with no consultation or veto by Local Authorities.

Opting to commit to a smaller number of families would not take advantage of the services already commissioned during previous schemes. If we were to reduce the number of families then existing providers may need to restructure services to reduce current capacity. Similarly if a greater number of families were accepted then local services would be over-stretched and not able to provide the level of support required.

7 Financial implications and risks:

All our costs associated with the scheme have been and would continue to be met by a specific Home Office Funding package. The Home Office has committed to retaining the existing funding provision it uses for other Refugee Resettlement Schemes to support those being relocated by Local Authorities under ARAP and ACRS.

Families will be supported for the first 3 years post arrival at £20,000 per person. This is frontloaded in the initial I2 months and then decreases over the subsequent 2 years. The funding can be pooled across the families and LA's are not required to report breakdown spend per family. The tariff automatically becomes available once the family arrives and unless there is a material change in circumstances e.g. the family moves out of area or return back to their country of origin, the Council will be entitled to claim across the 3 year period. Providing the LA can demonstrate that they are meeting the HO requirements for each family at the two evaluation points (Spring/Autumn following arrival) there are no further reporting requirements.

The grant funding will be maximised to ensure that all PCC resource providing support has been accounted for. This includes providing employment costs for I FTE Grade H Technical Lead (Asylum Seekers and Refugees) in Community Connections plus 20% of time for a finance administrator, 4 days per month business support and 4 days per month management time (Strategic Manager and Service Director).

The Refugee Integration Service (RIS) has been commissioned to provide housing; integration and arrival support, deliver English as a Second or Other Language (ESOL) provision, support access to the labour market as well as develop community cohesion initiatives. This contract is held by Plymouth Access to Housing (PATH) and is supported by three other providers; REC (Racial Equality Council) START and Open Doors International Language School (ODILS). This ensures that families arriving receive the support they need to integrate on arrival to Plymouth.

PCC has opted to accommodate the families within the private housing sector at Local Housing Allowance rates to ensure properties are affordable for families. There are substantial benefits to encourage landlords to participate in the scheme including contribution to minor improvements (e.g. heating/decorating) to ensure the property is fit for rental, void costs to cover council tax and rent whilst awaiting arrival, 2 months' rent in advance and deposit. We have been able to improve the standard of a number of properties in this way having a positive impact on the private rental sector when the families decide to move on. Further funding is available to landlords offering 4+ bed properties to mitigate the impact of the benefits cap and housing improvements required to accommodate a particular need.

In addition, core Education costs are being offered to schools accepting children from the scheme and

Health costs are made available to CCG to support initial health screenings, GP registration and other greater health needs.

This funding has been sufficient to cover all foreseeable circumstances and the number of families we are proposing to take provides a good level of contingency to deal with unforeseen issues. Through the RIS contract the City is able to offer support to all refugees in the City, including those receiving leave to remain via the asylum process. There is a greater level of support available to Scheme Families as the grant is ring-fenced, however, it has also enabled service providers to provide a wider service to those in need.

Accepting 60 families would generate £1.2m in the first 12months. The funding arrangement therefore underwrites our costs and minimises exposure to financial risks and negative implications.

8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact <u>Democratic Support</u> for further advice)		No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:			
			×	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total			
			×	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £I million			
			x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.			
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions						
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the polic framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	object y respoi	ives as a c	consistent with our corporate values and confident council that takes local and global criously, and a caring council that promotes a equality.			
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)	No im	No impact.				
Urge	ent decisions						
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the			(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)			
	public?	No	x	(If no, go to section 13a)			
I2a	Reason for urgency:						
I2b	Scrutiny		Date				

	Cha Sign	ir ature:								
		rutiny ommittee me:								
	Prin	Print Name:								
Cons	sultati	on								
13a		re any other Cabinet members' ortfolios affected by the decision?		Yes	x					
	por cionos anecteu by the decision:		No		n I4)					
I3b		Which other Cabinet member's ortfolio is affected by the decision?			Councillor Downie, Cabinet member for Education, Skills and Children and Young People					
I3c	Date	Pate Cabinet member consulted		15/07/21						
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?			Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer				
				No	x					
15	Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?			Name		Craig McArdle				
			Job title		Strategic Director for People, PCC					
				Date consu	lted	04/10/21				
Sign	-off									
16	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:			Democratic Support (mandatory)			DS60 21/22			
				Finance (mandatory)			djn.21.22.128			
				Legal (mandatory)			lt/36998/2/011021			
				Human Resources (if applicable)			N/A			
				Corporate property (if applicable)			N/A			
				Procu	rement	N/A				
Арр	endic	endices								
17	Ref.	Title of appendix								
	Α	Briefing report for publication								
	В	Equalities Impact Assessment								

COIII	fidential/exe	empt information									
18a		ed to include any al/exempt information?	Yes		bri	efing rep	es, prepare a second, confidential (efing report and indicate why it is r dication by virtue of Part Tof Scheo			not for	
				×	of the	the Loca e relevan	Local Government Act 1972 by the Local by the Local Box in 18b below.				
					(Keep as much information as possible in th briefing report that will be in the public domain)						
					Exemption Paragraph Number						
			I		2	3	4	5	6	7	
I8b	Confident title:	ial/exempt briefing report									
Back	ground Pa	pers									
19	Please list a	ll unpublished, background pape	ers relev	ant to	the	decision	in the tal	ole below	'.		
	disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.										
	T:41£	hl			-	4	. D	a sa la Nissasa	- L		
	Title of	background paper(s)				<u> </u>	n Paragr	- 			
	Title of	background paper(s)	1		Exe 2	3	Paragr	aph Nur	nber 6	7	
	Title of	background paper(s)	1			<u> </u>		- 			
Cabi		background paper(s) er Signature	1			<u> </u>		- 			
Cabi 20	I agree the Corporate promote equipople who		oot cont ecision I unlawfu	have g ıl discr	2 the given	Council due reg	's policy a ard to the d promot	5 sand budge council'e good re	et frameves duty to	vork,	
20	I agree the Corporate promote equipople who	er Signature decision and confirm that it is not plan or Budget. In taking this decipality of opportunity, eliminate share protected characteristic	oot cont ecision I unlawfu	have g ul discr the Ec	the given rimin qualit	Council due reg	's policy a ard to the d promot	nd budge e Council' e good re who do r	et frameves duty to	vork,	